

# The Grouse House Gazette

ISSUE #119 – JANUARY 4, 2016 [www.GHGAZETTE.com](http://www.GHGAZETTE.com) [www.GILBERTHETHERWICK.com](http://www.GILBERTHETHERWICK.com)

## THE DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE'S DANGEROUS GAME... THE PARTY LEADERS ARE OBVIOUSLY "IN THE BAG" FOR HILLARY AS THEY AND THE MEDIA GENERALLY IGNORE SANDERS' FAR BETTER CHANCES

From the way that the media is covering the Democrats in the race for the White House... you would think that Hillary Clinton already had the nomination... as Bernie Sanders is treated as if he were an unimportant near comical afterthought.

Just a "fringe" candidate... without a chance.

But if Bernie Sanders is a "fringe" candidate...

Why did Time Magazine's poll after the last December Democratic Party debate declare Sanders to be the winner by a margin of 84% against Clinton's 13% and O'Malley's 3%?

And in a similar poll conducted by Slate the numbers were even higher with 86% declaring Sanders the winner while only 10% Clinton.

And even over at the "evil empire" of Roger Ailes' Fox News the numbers were even BETTER for Sanders with 91% declaring him the winner and only 6% for Hillary.

Source: [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brian-hanley/bernie-sanders-sweeps-on-b\\_8847040.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/brian-hanley/bernie-sanders-sweeps-on-b_8847040.html)

When people hear Sanders' ideas... he wins.

Which is why the DNC is trying to keep him off of "their" stage.

You would THINK that this would be a major story... but few are even reporting it.

It is quite obvious that the Democratic National Committee is purposefully scheduling VERY FEW debates compared to the Republicans and they are scheduling them at times that will give them the fewest viewers possible. With the most recent one being during a major sporting event on a Saturday night... on the weekend "Star Wars" opened. And the next one scheduled on a Sunday during the football play-offs.

So WHY would a political party NOT want people to watch their debates and WHY would they be scheduling so few?

Because they are doing everything they can to prevent 2008 from happening all over again when Obama overtook and won the nomination from what everyone thought was an inevitable victory for Hillary Clinton.

And it is VERY interesting to note that at this same time in 2008... a few weeks out from the

first Iowa Caucuses... Clinton had a bigger lead against Obama than she does today with Sanders.

And today it was announced that Sanders has essentially raised the same amount of money as Clinton in the most recent reporting cycle. With the difference being that Sanders is getting contributions from A LARGER GROUP OF CONTRIBUTORS THAN ANY ELECTION IN HISTORY! YES... IT'S TRUE!

Source: <http://www.politicususa.com/2015/11/05/bernie-sanders-donors-point-candidate-history.html>

With TWO MILLION contributors giving an average donation of only \$20... Sanders is essentially tied in the money game with Clinton. Which must be terrifying to the Clinton campaign. He can now match her "ad for ad" in Iowa and New Hampshire.

And Sanders... unlike Clinton... DOESN'T have a Super PAC. It's all small contributors and no special interests. He's truly his "own man".

Which doesn't seem to matter anyway in a world where Jeb Bush has spent close to fifty million dollars and is polling at 3%. Television ads mean little today... and there is nowhere for billionaires to pour their money... when all candidates have to do is spit out a 140 character "tweet" to hit far more supporters than a million dollar television buy. All of the rules have changed. "Citizens United" now means nothing!

But wait... wouldn't a Sanders nomination easily sway the general election over to Donald Trump?

Not so fast!

In a recent Quinnipiac University poll Sanders destroyed Donald Trump... beating him by a full 13 percentage points while the same poll showed Clinton beating Trump by only 7 points.

A fact that the D.N.C. and the media... both liberal and otherwise... failed to recognize.

Source: [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/h-a-goodman/in-2016-bernie-sanders-defeat-clinton-trump\\_b\\_8902400.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/h-a-goodman/in-2016-bernie-sanders-defeat-clinton-trump_b_8902400.html)

Maybe it's the fact that he has always positioned himself as a "Democratic Socialist" (similar to the countries of Western Europe) rather than a traditional American "Democrat".

Keep in mind that the United States has essentially been a socialist country since 1935.

Hillary Clinton not only takes massive donations from the corrupt forces of Wall Street that brought down the economy... but she also received contributions from Donald Trump in 2002, 2005, 2006, AND 2007!

And while Hillary's more "moneyed" contributors have most probably already maxed out their legal contributions... Bernie's supporters can continue to give all the way up to the election with their average contributions being only \$20. So his "coffers" will increase further in the future... while Hillary's may soon drop. AND... Bernie has momentum!

I've been writing that Clinton was a BAD candidate for over six months now... with 59% of Americans not trusting her. And with independents that number climbs to a whopping 79%. And you MUST get the independent voters to win. Over half of America HATES her.

Bernie Sanders has a net favorability rating that is actually 9 points higher than Hillary Clinton's!

Despite his lack of media attention... his HUGE "Trump like" enthusiastic crowds and TRUE "grass roots" support show that Bernie Sanders CAN indeed WIN "the whole enchilada"!

IF his own party would only give him the chance.

We need MORE DEBATES... and at times when people are actually watching! DUH!

If Americans truly understood what Sanders stood for... and what he is proposing... he could in fact LANDSLIDE the general election with support from every corner of the American electorate... except of course from the top 5% wealthiest Americans (who would soon be paying their fair share of our children's futures).

The Sanders movement is a true revolution... unlike Trump's "astro-turf" campaign of fear and hatred... and it is one that could take us back to being an actual democracy... rather than the wealthy oligarchy of corrupt tax-cheats that our country has become over the past 20 years.

Trump is the candidate for those who watch and hate... while Sanders is for those that read and think... So please... THINK ABOUT IT!

Gilbert Hetherwick [Hetherwick@me.com](mailto:Hetherwick@me.com)